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Abstract

Professional flute-players recently asked a Parisian flute maker to conceive a period Boehm flute with an open key system, similar to the one inaugurated in 1830. Jointly conducted by acousticians, musicologists and flute makers, the objective of the study is to conceive such a nineteenth century flute. In order to achieve this, our aim is to understand the historical and musical context of flute manufacture, the playing techniques developed by musicians, the acoustic responses of the instrument and the characteristics of the key mechanism. We will be using an interdisciplinary approach, combining musicology and acoustic studies. The former will be using historical documents and academic articles in order to establish a coherent overview of the flute making industry in France in nineteenth century; the latter will be using geometrical surveys, models of admittance and admittance measurements in order to determine the acoustic characteristics of the nineteenth century flute, to identify the elements of flute making specific to each flute maker and to understand the playing techniques developed by the musicians. Furthermore, the understanding of the specific playing techniques developed by the musicians can be improved by the discussion with the professional flute players. Finally, regular discussions with the flute maker are necessary to understand the freedom or the limits of flute manufacture, or by the key mechanism.

1. Introduction

Historic: Until the eighteenth century, transverse flutes are keyless, mainly made of wood and have a cylindrical bore. The first significant changes in flute making appear with Jacques Hotteterre le Romain (ca.1680-ca.1761); the bore becomes cylindrical (for the head) and conical (for the body), various keys are added in order to simplify cross-fingerings and to improve the tuning of the flute [1].

In the history of the flute, the nineteenth century is rife in inventions. Flute makers patent numerous innovations, each trying to offer the best instrument. Without Boehm (1794-1881), the flute was in danger of being abandoned by composers, as it was not compatible with the significant progress of the orchestra. The genius of Boehm was in the implementation of parallel systems to create a new key system, much more convenient and reliable. The Boehm key system allowed the player to access larger holes placed in strategic acoustic positions. The first so-called Boehm flute was built in 1831. It spread in France from 1838 onwards, thanks to a new French version established by Buffet-Crampon and Dorus. Boehm then designed a new flute in 1847, built in metal with a cylindrical bore and a slightly conical head, in order to improve the timbre of the instrument [1].
The technical facility and the acoustics provided by the Boehm mechanism, offering easier intonation and timbre diversity, ensured the future of the flute. Composers became interested and began to compose interesting musical pieces beyond the virtuoso pieces of the nineteenth century, composed mainly to show the skill of the musician without worrying about the timbre of the instrument.

**Context:** This study was initiated following the request of professional flute-players to conceive a period Boehm flute with an open key system, similar to the one inaugurated in 1832. The research is jointly conducted by acousticians, musicologists and flute makers in order to understand the historical and musical context of flute manufacture, playing techniques developed by musicians, acoustic responses of the instrument, characteristics of the key mechanism, and conceiving a prototype. Furthermore, some comparisons will be established with the modern flute.

### 2. Methods

We will be using an interdisciplinary approach, combining musicology and acoustic studies. The former will be using historical documents and academic articles in order to establish a coherent overview of the flute making industry in France in nineteenth century; the latter will be using geometrical surveys, models of admittance and admittance measurements in order to determine the acoustic characteristics of the nineteenth century flute, to identify the elements of flute making specific to each flute maker and to understand the playing techniques developed by the musicians. Furthermore, some interviews have been conducted with professional flute players in order to highlight their expectations about the new flute. As the preservation of the period sound seems to be a significant characteristic, it would be interesting to study the embouchure of the flute, that most probably plays an important part in the timbre of the instrument. The playing techniques at the embouchure of the instrument can be studied thanks to a specific protocol, described by De la Cuadra, [2].

Finally, regular discussions with the flute maker are necessary to understand the freedom or the limits of flute manufacture, or by the key mechanism. The conception of a first prototype will be conducted with the flute maker, while reflecting on ergonomic problematics.

### 3. Results

To conduct our study, we worked on 6 flutes from the nineteenth century and one modern flute, each flute is described in the table 1, in terms of date of built, the type of the foot joint and the name of the builder.

**Geometry:** The first results show geometrical differences between the nineteenth century flutes and a modern flute by Sankyo. The geometrical surveys, Figure 1-left, allow us to confirm that the bore of the nineteenth flute presents a cylindrical head and a conical body varying between 18 and 11mm, while head of the modern flute is parabolic with a cylindrical body varying between 17 and 19mm. Flutes with a D foot
joint present a variance, in fact the bore of the foot joint is like a divergent cone between 11 and 14 mm; this exception may be a heritage from the baroque flute.

Figure 1-right shows the diameter of the holes and their positions from the top of the flute body for the two flutes.

![Figure 1: left - bore diameters of the flutes, right – hole’s diameter and position from the embouchure hole.](image)

The comparison between the flutes pictured in figure 1-right indicates that the diameter of modern flute finger holes are twice as large as those of the nineteenth century flutes, except for the three first holes which are trill holes or register holes. Consequently, modern flute holes are placed slightly further down the body of the instrument. We also observe differences in the position of the holes for the nineteenth century flutes. However, the diameters of the holes seem to be similar for all measured flutes.

**Admittance measurements:** The input admittance of the flute can be measured by using an impedance sensor, developed in Le Mans [3]. Thus, the resonance frequencies of the flutes are estimated using the zero crossing of the imaginary part of the admittance. Obviously, the frequencies have to be distinguished from the frequencies played by a musician as our measurements do not take into account the radiation at the embouchure, the influence of the lips, and the influence of the air jet. However, through these measurements we can estimate the musician’s control if s/he were to play with an
equal temperament. Figure 2 represents the frequency difference between the resonance frequencies and the equal temperament frequencies in cents for the flutes we measured (L. Lot, Sankyo and Thibouville-Lamy) and for the first two registers.

On figure 2, we can observe that the spaces between two successive notes are more regular for the modern flute than for the nineteenth flutes. Tone control is therefore probably easier for a musician playing the modern flute because it evolves in the same way during the scale. We also note that the flute Thibouville-Lamy seems to have the same pitch as the modern (442 Hz), whereas the others flutes seem to have a lower diapason, around 430 Hz. Finally, we remark that control may be difficult for the flautist between C4 and E4, especially with the grey flute, as the variations fact for this flute are higher. During a scale, the musician will have to adjust his/her control (position of the lips and air jet velocity [2, 4]) in order to compensate around 100 cents for the modern flute and 200 cents for the grey flute. Others acoustical parameters can be studied in the admittance measurements such as the magnitude of the peaks and the spectral composition of the spectrum, in order to estimate the emission facilities or characteristics on the sound.

**Admittance model:** The admittance of the flute can be modelled using the plane wave theory, described by Pierce [5]. Using the approach of transmission lines, this theory describes the flute as a product of transfer matrices, established by the geometry of the instrument: cylinder, cones, holes, ... Each element corresponds to a matrix transfer, as explained by Kergomard in [6], which is multiplied with the others. Thus, we can model the impedance between the head cork and the embouchure, and flute body (as Lefebvre [7], Vauthrin [5]), which we place in parallel with the impedance of the cavity. The impedance obtained is then placed in series with the impedance of the embouchure hole. We then obtain the impedance of the entire flute above the embouchure hole. The admittance of the flute is just the inverse of the impedance. In the same way as previously, we estimate the resonance frequencies and the frequency differences with the equal temperament, figure 3.
Figure 3: left: Frequency differences between the resonance frequencies and the equal temperament for the register 1, for the Thibouville-Lamy flute measured and modelled.

Figure 3 shows that the resonance frequencies obtained by our model are close to the one obtained by the admittance measurements. However, we note variations of up to 15 cents for some fingerings. These variations may come from the linear acoustics description of the geometry of the holes which does not take into account the undercutting technique [8]. Before using this model to produce a prototype, we need to improve it, to correctly model the measures. We could then use it in order to determine the changes of the flute geometry in order to optimize the prototype in agreement with the musician greetings.

Discussion with the musicians: An important part of the study is to understand the musicians’ point of view in order to create an instrument that is attractive to them. To this effect, we interviewed two musicians from different backgrounds, both owners and regular players of period flutes. The first was a historical enthusiast with great interest for period instruments as a collector and amateur musician, the second was a professional musician who was until recently employed by a prestigious period orchestra. The concerns of both musicians, however, were similar in that the main characteristic they wished to see reproduced in a new version of the Boehm conical flute was its distinct sound, before even working on slight tuning adjustments. The amateur flautist was keen for the new flute to retain its sound, “more intimate, softer and less aggressive” than the modern Boehm flute. Similarly, the professional musician expressed his appreciation for the “fine” and “elegant” sound of the French manufactured conical Boehm flutes and was keen for the new flute to retain the slight discrepancies in tuning and timbre as these were musically included in the contemporary repertoire by the composers.

4. Discussion

The first results of the study show the main geometry differences between the nineteenth century and the modern flutes, and their influences on the resonance frequencies of the instrument and on the playing techniques.
Collaborating with Acousticians, Musicologist & Flute Makers: Towards the Conception of a 19th Century Flute

The flute can also be modelled with a model based on the linear acoustics, this model needs to be improve in order to use it in order to generate the prototype in agreement with the musicians greetings.

This work will be continued with a study on the sound of the flute, and more particularly on the influence of the embouchure shape, in order to determine the best embouchure geometry to choice in terms of emission facility and sound produced.
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